

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/651,325	03/05/2004	Dhadesugoor R. Vaman	7839		
7590 08/25/2005			EXAMINER		
DHADESUGOOR R. VAMAN			GREGORY, BERNARR E		
8399 BUCKEYE COURT FREDERICK, MD 21702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3662		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/651,32	5	VAMAN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Bernarr E.		3662				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE I - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sisions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum si re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the stattatutory period will apply and with will, by statute, cause the apply will, by statute, cause the apply and will.	int, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
,		2b)⊠ This action is n						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
, —	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment			4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3662

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claim 1 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Independent claim 2 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Independent claim 3 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Independent claim 4 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Independent claim 5 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,325

Art Unit: 3662

Independent claim 6 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Independent claim 7 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Independent claim 8 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Independent claim 9 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Independent claim 10 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Independent claim 11 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Independent claim 12 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,325

Art Unit: 3662

Independent claim 13 is indefinite and unclear in that it does not seem to fall in any of the accepted categories of statutory claims: method, apparatus, or computer program product.

Claim 1 is unclear due to the use of "i.e." on line 1 of the claim.

Claims 3, 6, and 11 are indefinite and unclear in that they contain parenthetical matter and in that it may not be readily ascertained if or how the parenthetical matter modifies the remaining claim language of each of these claims.

Claim 1 is unclear in that it exceeds one sentence in length. In practice in the United States Patent and Trademark Office, a claim may only consist of a single sentence.

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-13 fail to fall into any of the statutory classes of claims and further appear to attempt to claim descriptive matter per se. Please see the guidelines in MPEP 2106, which make clear that descriptive matter is not statutory subject matter for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,325

Art Unit: 3662

4. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernarr E. Gregory whose telephone number is (571) 272-6972. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza, can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

Application/Control Number: 10/651,325 Page 6

Art Unit: 3662

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernarr É. Gregory Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3662